Alan F. Ciamporcero

social displantory Bolance Schollery Mancel Avoine, Stewn, Sans (66) Automorphismus (600) Success 64 to 1



July 12, 1994

RECEIVED

WIII 1 2 1994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission Mail Stop 1170 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Alam F. Dramgoro

Dear Mr. Caton:

Re: GN Docket No. 94-33 - Further Forbearance From Title II Regulation For Certain Types of Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers

Design and Charles

On behalf of Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell, please find enclosed an original and six copies of their "Reply Comments" in the above proceeding.

Please stamp and return the provided copy to confirm your receipt. Please contact me should you have any questions or require additional information concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd 0+5
List A B C D E

DOWNERFEE OUR CREMAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

VIII 1 2 1994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

In the Matter of

Further Forbearance from Title II Regulation for Certain Types of Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers GN Docket No. 94-33

REPLY COMMENTS OF PACIFIC BELL AND NEVADA BELL

Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell hereby respond to selected issues raised in the comments in the above-captioned proceeding.

I. THE COMMISSION SHOULD REJECT ARGUMENTS TO APPLY ITS FORBEARANCE DECISIONS SELECTIVELY.

Several of the commenters support forbearance of Title
II provisions based on the size of the commercial mobile service
providers. For example, Nextel and the American Mobile
Telecommunications Association urge the Commission to forbear
from applying all but the statutorily-mandated Title II

provision to "small" providers. Both define small as those providers that serve fewer than 5,000 subscribers nationwide. Nextel and the American Mobile Telecommunications Association also recommend that the Commission consider market dominance in determining appropriate levels of forbearance. We strongly disagree.

As we explained in our comments making forbearance distinctions based on a provider's size or customer base would be arbitrary and would not meet Congress's objective to ensure that similar mobile services are subject to consistent regulatory classification. Moreover, this approach would discourage small providers from expanding since increased size would subject them to more regulation. Finally, it would create an administrative nightmare for the Commission.

Likewise, forbearance based on market share and/or dominance or non-dominance is inappropriate. As we explained in

Nextel, p. n-8; American Mobile Telecommunications Association, pp. 7-10.

Nextel, p. 8; American Mobile Telecommunications Association, p. 8.

Nextel, p. 3; American Mobile Telecommunications Association pp. 5-7.

Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell, pp. 3-7.

⁵ <u>Id.</u> at pp. 5-6.

⁶ <u>Id.</u> at p. 7.

our comments, emergent competition (with expanding output, entry and capacity) should be central to any forbearance analysis.

An analysis of output, capacity and entry in the area of commercial mobile services demonstrates that the market is changing. Customers will have more and more choices over the next few years. It is critical that the Commission not engage in selective forbearance that creates distortions in the market. The Commission should take this opportunity to regulate all CMRS providers on the same streamlined basis.

II. THE COMMISSION SHOULD FORBEAR FROM APPLYING SECTION 226 OF THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT TO CMRS PROVIDERS

Many of the commenters object to the application of Section 226, also known as the Telephone Operator Consumer Services Improvement Act to CMRS. Southwestern Bell provides a detailed discussion of the difficulties and burdens associated with application of Section 226, to CMRS. Given the lack of any evidence of the type of abuse that precipitated this legislation, we agree that the Commission should forbear from

⁷ <u>Id.</u> at pp. 8-13.

See e.g., AllTel, p. 3; BellAtlantic, p. 8; GTE, pp. 5-6; McCaw, pp. 4-5; Nextel, pp. 15-16.

⁹ Southwestern Bell, pp. 10-16.

the application of Section 226 to all CMRS providers at this time. If problems arise, as the CMRS market develops the Commission can revisit this issue. It is inappropriate to impose a regulatory burden on CMRS providers now in absence of any need for the regulation.

III. CONCLUSION.

Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell respectfully request that the Commission reject arguments to apply its forbearance authority selectively and that it forbear from applying Section 226 to all CMRS providers at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

PACIFIC BELL NEVADA BELL

JAMES P. TUTHILL

BETSY STOVER GRANGER

Situ Store From

140 New Montgomery St., Rm. 1525 San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 542-7649

JAMES L. WURTZ

1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 383-6472

Their Attorneys

Date: July 12, 1994

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Cathy Jo Farey, hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing "REPLY COMMENTS OF PACIFIC BELL AND NEVADA BELL" in GN Dkt. No. 94-33 was mailed, postage prepaid, this 12th day of July, 1994, to the parties on the attached service list.

Cathy Jo Farey

Service List GN Docket No. 94-33 Reply Comments July 12, 1994

Carolyn C. Hill
ALLTEL Service Corporation
655 15th Street, NW, Suite 220
Washington, DC 20005

Alan R. Shark American Mobile Telecommunications Association 1150 18th Street, NW, Suite 250 Washington, DC 20036

Dennis C. Brown Brown and Schwaninger 1835 K Street, NW, Suite 650 Wasington, DC 20006

Robert J. McKee AT&T Corp. 295 North Maple Avenue, Room 3245H1 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

John T. Scott, III Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Wasington, DC 20004-2505

Jim O. Llewellyn BellSouth 1155 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Andrea D. Williams Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036 Russell H. Fox Gardner, Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street, NW, Suite 900, East Tower Washington, DC 20005

Michael S. Hirsch .
Geotek Communications, Inc.
1200 19th Street, NW, #607
Washington, DC 20036

Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, NW, Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Rodney L. Joyce Ginsburg, Feldman and Bress 1250 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036

Cathleen Massey
McCaw Cellular Communications, Inc.
1150 Connecticut Ave., NW, 4th Floor
Washington, DC 20036

Alan S. Tilles Meyer, Faller, Weisman and Rosenberg 4400 Jenifer Street, N.W., Suite 380 Washington, DC 20015

Lawrence R. Krevor Nextel Communications, Inc. 800 Connecticut Ave., Suite 1001 Washington, DC 20006 George L. Lyon, Jr. Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez, Chartered 1819 H Street, NW, 7th Floor Washington, DC 20006

J. E. Holmes Nethersole NYNEX Corporation 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605

Michael R. Carper OneComm Corporation 4643 Ulster Street, Suite 500 Denver CO 80237

Thomas J. Keller Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard McPherson and Hand 901 Fifteenth Street, NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005-2327

Christine M. Gill Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street, NW, Suite 500 West Washington, DC 20001

Karen B. Peck Southwestern Bell Mobile Systems, Inc. 17330 Preston Road, Suite 100A Dallas, TX 75252

Sean A. Stokes Utilities Telecommunications Council 1140 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 1140 Washington, DC 20036

Martin W. Bercovici Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street, NW, Suite 500 West Washington, DC 20001 Susan H. R. Jones Gardner, Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street, NW, Suite 900, East Tower Washington, DC 20005